

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,565	04/20/2001	William McFarland	P 0269521 ATH-025(u)	1458
30547	7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
BEVER HO	FFMAN & HARMS,	ODOM, CURTIS B		
2099 GATEW	AY PLACE		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	D - DED 1411 4DED
SUITE 320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	CA 95110		2611	
		_	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> U</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/839,565	MCFARLAND, WILLIAM	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Curtis B. Odom	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 August 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-5,8,9,12,13,15-18,20,21,24,25 a	nnd 80-82 is/are pending in t	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) 2-5,8,9,12,13,15-18,20,21,24 and	25 is/are allowed.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-82</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docum 			
Certified copies of the priority docum			
Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
	•		
		•	
Attachment(s)	·		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states van Nee (U. S. Patent No. 6, 175, 550) fails to disclose that a second particular number of carriers is provided in addition to the second symbol rate for a second transmission of data during a subsequent period of time.

However, van Nee discloses transmitting (see column 6, lines 60-62) a group of symbols using a first particular number of carriers and a first particular symbol rate (data rate/symbol duration) during a first period of time according to Table 1 (see column 4, lines 32-50). Van Nee further discloses the number of carriers can be changed (see column 5, lines 58-67), wherein changing the number of carriers changes the data rate (see column 5, lines 60-63) and the symbol duration (see column 6, lines 55-59). The transmitter can begin with a low data rate during a first period and then transition to a high data rate during a second period (see column 7, lines 45-50). Van Nee further discloses other operating characteristics can be similarly monitored and scaled during a second period of time along with the data rate (see column 7, lines 61-63), wherein the other operating characteristics include symbol duration, bit per symbol per carrier, and the number of carriers (see column 3, lines 3-34). Van Nee also discloses transmitting a subsequent symbol duration and number of carriers to the receiver for configuration of the receiver (see column 7, lines 9-25) Thus, according to the disclosure above, it is the

Art Unit: 2611

understanding of the examiner that the data rate and number or carriers can be changed (scaled) during a second transmission period.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 80-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Nee (previously cited in Office Action 6/14/2005) in view of Li (previously cited in Office Action 5/31/2006).

Regarding claim 80, van Nee discloses a method of communicating from a first transceiver (Fig. 1, block 11) in a wireless multicarrier system comprising the steps of:

transmitting (see column 6, lines 60-62) from the first transceiver (Fig. 1) a group of symbols using a first particular number of carriers and a first particular symbol rate (data rate) during a first period of time according to Table 1 (see column 5, lines 32-50); and

transmitting from the first transceiver another group of symbols using a second particular number of carriers by scaling the number of carriers (column 5, lines 59-67) and a second particular symbol (data) rate by scaling the number of bits per carrier (column 4, lines 29-43) during a subsequent period of time (column 7, lines 45-53), wherein other operating characteristics can be similarly monitored and scaled during a second period of time along with

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565

Art Unit: 2611

the data rate (see column 7, lines 61-63), wherein the other operating characteristics include symbol duration, bit per symbol per carrier, and the number of carriers (see column 3, lines 3-34),

wherein at least one of the second particular number of carriers is smaller than the first maximum number of carriers (column 6, lines 10-23),

and further configuring the first transceiver to transmit the another group of symbols using the second particular number of carriers and the second particular symbol rate using control circuitry 15 (column 4, lines 29-43 and column 6, lines 10-23).

Van Nee does not disclose the second particular number of carriers and the second particular symbol rate are identified in a header portion of the group of symbols transmitted at the first particular number of carriers and the first particular symbol rate.

However, van Nee does disclose transmitting a subsequent symbol duration and number of carriers to the receiver for configuration of the receiver (see column 7, lines 9-25). Li further discloses identifying a subsequent (second) data rate of information to be transmitted in the header portion of a current signal transmitting at a current (first) data rate (column 2, lines 42-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system of van Nee to transmit the symbol duration and number of carriers in a header as disclosed by Li since Li states identification of a subsequent data (symbol) rate reduces processing load at the receiver (column 2, lines 47-51).

Regarding claim 81, van Nee further discloses both the second particular number of carriers and the second particular symbol rate are different than the first particular number of

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

carriers and the first particular symbol rate by varying the transmission rate and scaling the number of carriers (column 5, liens 59-67).

Regarding claim 82 van Nee discloses the second particular number of carriers can be greater than the first particular number of carriers according to Table 1 and the second particular symbol (data) rate can be greater than the first particular symbol rate according to Table 1 after varying the transmission rate and scaling the number of carriers (column 5, lines 31-50).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-5 and 15-18 are allowable over prior art references because related references do not disclose changing the symbol rate and number of carriers by controlling a frequency synthesizer used to clock a divide by N counter, IFFT, and parallel to serial converter. Claims 8, 9, 12, 13, 20, 21, 24 and 25 are allowable over prior art references because related references do not disclose controlling a number of carriers and symbol rate by placing zero magnitude signals on the carriers.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/839,565

Art Unit: 2611

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Curtis Odom

November 11, 2006

JAY K. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER